## Consultation on the Draft Public Audit (Amendment) (Wales) Bill – Aneurin Bevan University Health Board response.

Email to: <a href="mailto:seneddfinance@assembly.wales">seneddfinance@assembly.wales</a>.

| Question | Question  | Response  |
|----------|---|---|
| 1        | Do you agree that the Wales Audit Office should be given flexibility<br>in how it charges and administers its fees by allowing it to broadly<br>breakeven, taking one year with another?  | We do not support cross subsidisation between<br>bodies. No more than full cost should be charged<br>to a body as a whole and refunds, if appropriate<br>should be given. "Broadly breakeven, taking one<br>year with another" should be defined more<br>closely. |
| 2        | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in sections 3 and 4 of the Draft Bill?  | See above   |
| 3        | Do you agree that the Wales Audit Office should be allowed to set<br>its own terms and conditions for agreement work?   | We see no reasons why full cost plus a surplus<br>margin should not be charged to bodies outside<br>Wales and these surpluses used to benefit the<br>public sector in Wales.  |
| 4        | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in section 2 of the Draft Bill?   | See above   |
| 5        | Do you agree that the current Wales Audit Office Board quorum requirement for a majority of non-executive members should be retained in legislation?  | Yes   |
| 6        | Do you agree that if the majority of members present at a meeting<br>of the Wales<br>Audit Office Board are not non-executive members, an executive<br>member should be allowed to continue in a non-voting capacity in<br>order to satisfy the quorum requirement? | This appears a reasonable response  |
| 7        | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in section 13 of the Draft Bill?  | As above  |
| 8        | Do you agree that the Auditor General for Wales and the Chair of the Wales Audit  | Good practice should be followed. A report once a year does seem reasonable. Perhaps ways   |

|    | Office should no longer be required to produce an interim report at least once a year, but a requirement to produce an interim report upon the Assembly's request should be included in legislation?  | could be looked at how to do this more cheaply, using a highlight report etc. |
|----|---|---|
| 9  | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in section 16 of the Draft Bill?  | See above   |
| 10 | Do you agree that the requirement to lay the annual report (on the exercise of functions of the Auditor General for Wales and Wales Audit Office) in paragraph 3(1) of Schedule 2 of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013 should be amended to require the external auditor to lay the report as part of the laying of the annual report and accounts?   | This doesn't seem unreasonable  |
| 11 | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in section 15 of the Draft Bill?  | See above   |
| 12 | <ul> <li>Do you agree that existing legislation requiring the Auditor General for Wales to certify and lay an audited body's accounts and report within four months should be amended to:</li> <li>allow the Auditor General for Wales to lay a copy of the certified accounts and report after the four month deadline,</li> <li>require the Auditor General for Wales to explain to the Assembly why the four month deadline cannot be met, and</li> <li>require the Auditor General for Wales to lay the certified accounts and report after the four month deadline.</li> </ul> | This doesn't seem unreasonable  |
| 13 | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in section 17 and<br>Schedule 1 of the<br>Draft Bill?   | See above   |
| 14 | Do you agree that engagement of the auditor of the Wales Audit<br>Office should be a contractual matter between the Wales Audit   | Yes   |

|    | Office and the auditor, with the appointment (and associated terms and conditions) being subject to the approval of the Assembly?  |                |
|----|--|----------------|
| 15 | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in section 14 of the Draft Bill?   | See above      |
| 16 | Do you agree that the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013 should be<br>amended to allow the appointment of a serving non-executive<br>member to be extended for a second term of up to four years,<br>subject to acceptable performance?   | Yes            |
| 17 | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in sections 7, 8 and 9 of the Draft Bill?  | See above      |
| 18 | Do you agree that the requirement for the Assembly to consult the<br>First Minister<br>on the following should be removed:<br>• the appointment of the Chair of the Wales Audit Office;<br>• the remuneration arrangements for the Chair and the Auditor<br>General<br>for Wales; and<br>• the termination of the Chair's appointment? | Yes            |
| 19 | Do you agree that the requirement for the Assembly to consult an<br>appropriate person with oversight for public appointments on<br>remuneration arrangements and other terms of appointment should<br>be removed?   | No strong view |
| 20 | Do you agree that a general provision should be included,<br>permitting the<br>Assembly to consult with any persons it deems appropriate before<br>exercising any functions in relation to the Auditor General for<br>Wales or the Wales Audit Office?   | Yes            |
| 21 | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in sections 6, 10 and 11 of the Draft Bill?  | See above      |
| 22 | Do you agree that section 5(3) of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2013 should be amended to require the Assembly to publish a list of   | No strong view |

|    | restricted offices, positions or arrangements, which a former<br>Auditor General for Wales would need to consult with the Assembly<br>before accepting or entering into after leaving office, only if any<br>such restrictions are identified?  |  |
|----|---|--|
| 23 | If so, do you agree with the approach taken in section 5 of the Draft Bill?   | See above  |
| 24 | <ul> <li>Do you feel the Draft Bill should include provisions relating to:</li> <li>the lack of a value for money conclusion duty on the Auditor<br/>General for</li> <li>Wales and central government bodies;</li> <li>the absence of explicit provisions in statute for regularity opinions<br/>among many central government bodies;</li> <li>the overlapping laying requirements;</li> <li>Welsh data matching powers?</li> </ul> | Yes  |
| 25 | <ul> <li>Will any of the proposals included in the Draft Public Audit<br/>(Amendment) (Wales)</li> <li>Bill lead to any financial implications (for example, costs or<br/>benefits) for you or your organisation?</li> <li>If you have identified financial implications for you or your<br/>organisation can you describe what these could be and provide an<br/>estimated cost (if possible)?</li> </ul>                            | Potentially if the provision to remove the full cost recovery per organisation is removed. |
| 26 | Do you have any other observations or general comments on the<br>Public Audit<br>(Wales) Act 2013 or the Committee's Draft Public Audit<br>(Amendment) (Wales) Bill?  | No   |