

Bil Anifeiliaid Gwyllt a Sycrasau (Cymru) | Wild Animals and Circuses (Wales) Bill
WA 16

Ymateb gan : Unigolyn

Evidence from : Individual

To ..I wish to raise objection to the continued pursuit of the proposed ban .as a law abiding citizen and an animal industry supporter i have many concerns on the precedence that upholding this ban will incur by use of ethics alone as an arguement...the ethical argument using a basis of welfare as it's justification when welfare is inapplicable is unacceptable and unethical in itself ...ethics is philosophy and an individual personnel conclusion with no right or wrong.which is why we tend to use facts from scientific research to prepare the moral standards that we uphold in society to maintain animal welfare regulations and [legislation.In](#) the u.k according to defra licencing inspections carried out repeatedly throughout touring and winter quarters the circuses involved have an exemplary record of welfare standards set and adhered to ...The British veterinary association can only provide negative welfare results in that the animals in circus can not meet the requirements to live and thrive in a natural environment.this is applicable to every single industry involving animals and all domesticated [stock.how](#) can one animal industry species be implicated in this way as circus have when supposedly every sentient being has the same rights to welfare including our pets at [home.it](#) is discriminatory in many articles of the Uk Charter of Human rights and Equality Act 2010, also treaty of functioning of the E.U and also the E.U Charter of Fundamental rights .and ECHR and International Human Rights.the proposed ban will make a mockery of our constitutions.this ban has already been passed in England with many of the speakers stating that the above is entirely true and constitutes major breaches of discrimination laws .the speakers also recognising the disproportionality of this bill. I have deep concerns for the integrity of Welsh law if we continue to persue this proposed ban of ethical 'fact' using outdated and unfounded propaganda based evidence whereas scientific research and evidence based facts state there is no welfare argument to answer.