

Cynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru
Y Pwyllgor Archwilio

The National Assembly for Wales
The Audit Committee

Dydd Iau, 8 Chwefror 2007
Thursday, 8 February 2007

Cynnwys
Contents

[Cyflwyniad, Ymddiheuriadau, Dirprwyon a Datgan Buddiannau](#)
[Introduction, Apologies, Substitutions and Declarations of Interest](#)

[Mynd i'r Afael â Digartrefedd yng Nghymru: Adolygu Effeithlonrwydd y Strategaeth Genedlaethol](#)
[ar Ddigartrefedd](#)

[Tackling Homelessness in Wales in Wales: A Review of the Effectiveness of the National](#)
[Homelessness Strategy](#)

[Rhaglen Derfynol Archwiliadau Gwerth am Arian](#)
[Final Programme of Value for Money Examinations](#)

[Ymateb Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru i Adroddiad y Pwyllgor Archwilio ar Ddysgu Seiliedig ar](#)
[Waith](#)

[The Welsh Assembly Government response to the Audit Committee Report on Work-Based Learning](#)

[Y Fenter Twyll Genedlaethol yng Nghymru 2004-05: Adroddiad Cryno](#)
[National Fraud Initiative in Wales 2004-05: A Summary Report](#)

[Cofnodion y Cyfarfod Blaenorol](#)
[Minutes of the Previous Meeting](#)

[Cynnig Trefniadol](#)

Procedural Motion

Cofnodir y trafodion hyn yn yr iaith y llefarwyd hwy ynndi yn y pwyllgor. Yn ogystal, cynhwysir cyfieithiad Saesneg o gyfraniadau yn y Gymraeg.

These proceedings are reported in the language in which they were spoken in the committee. In addition, an English translation of Welsh speeches is included.

Aelodau Cynulliad yn bresennol

Assembly Members in attendance

Leighton Andrews	Llafur Labour
Mick Bates	Democratiaid Rhyddfrydol Cymru Welsh Liberal Democrats
Janet Davies	Plaid Cymru (Cadeirydd y Pwyllgor) The Party of Wales (Committee Chair)
Jocelyn Davies	Plaid Cymru The Party of Wales
Mark Isherwood	Ceidwadwyr Cymru Welsh Conservatives

Swyddogion yn bresennol

Officials in attendance

Ian Gibson	Dirprwy Swyddog Cydymffurfiaeth, Swyddfa Gydymffurfiaeth y Cynulliad Deputy Compliance Officer, Assembly Compliance Office
Dr Emyr Roberts	Cyfarwyddwr, Adran Cyfiawnder Cymdeithasol ac Adfywio Director, Social Justice and Regeneration Department
Linda Whittaker	Cyfarwyddwr Tai, Cyfarwyddiaeth Dai Director of Housing, Housing Directorate

Eraill yn bresennol

Others in attendance

Jeremy Colman	Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru Auditor General for Wales
Margaret Griffiths,	Arbenigydd Perfformiad, Swyddfa Archwilio Cymru Performance Specialist, Wales Audit Office
Rob Powell	Rheolwr Prosiect Archwilio Perfformiad, Swyddfa Archwilio Cymru Performance Audit Project Manager, Wales Audit Office

Gwasanaeth y Pwyllgor Committee Service

Dr Kathryn Jenkins

Clerc

Clerk

Dan Collier

Dirprwy Glerc

Deputy Clerk

Dechreuodd y cyfarfod am 9.32 a.m.

The meeting began at 9.32 a.m.

Cyflwyniad, Ymddiheuriadau, Dirprwyon a Datgan Buddiannau Introduction, Apologies, Substitutions and Declarations of Interest

[1] **Janet Davies:** Bore da a chroeso i aelodau'r pwyllgor, y cyhoedd a'r tystion i'r cyfarfod.

Janet Davies: Good morning, I welcome committee members, the public and witnesses to the meeting.

[2] I remind everyone that the committee operates bilingually and that headsets are available for translation of Welsh into English, and also to amplify the sound.

[3] Atgoffaf pawb i ddifodd ffonau symudol, 'pagers' ac unrhyw ddyfais electronig arall, gan eu bod yn ymyrryd â'r offer cyfieithu a darlledu. Os bydd yn rhaid gadael yr ystafell mewn argyfwng, dylid gadael drwy'r drws agosaf a dilyn cyfarwyddyd gan y tywysyddion.

I remind everyone to switch off mobile phones, pagers or any electronic device, as they interfere with the translation and broadcasting equipment. If the room has to be vacated in an emergency, you should leave through the nearest door and follow the ushers' instructions.

[4] Yr wyf wedi derbyn ymddiheuriadau gan Denise Idris Jones, Carl Sargeant, Catherine Thomas ac Irene James. A oes gan Aelodau unrhyw fuddiannau i'w datgan? Gwelaf nad oes.

I have received apologies from Denise Idris Jones, Carl Sargeant, Catherine Thomas and Irene James. Do Members have any interests to declare? I see not.

9.33 a.m.

Mynd i'r Afael â Digartrefedd yng Nghymru: Adolygu Effeithlonrwydd y Strategaeth Genedlaethol ar Ddigartrefedd Tackling Homelessness in Wales in Wales: A Review of the Effectiveness of the National Homelessness Strategy

[5] **Janet Davies:** We will be discussing the Auditor General for Wales's report, 'Tackling Homelessness in Wales', which suggests that the national homelessness strategy is preventing homelessness. However, the report makes some recommendations about the requirement for further research to understand the issue in more depth, establishing joined-up advice services, improving the strategic commissioning of Supporting People schemes and improving the monitoring and evaluation of prevention services.

[6] I welcome the witnesses. Will you introduce yourselves for the Record?

[7] **Dr Roberts:** I am Emyr Roberts, director of the Department for Social Justice and Regeneration.

[8] **Ms Whittaker:** I am Linda Whittaker, director of housing.

[9] **Janet Davies:** I will start with a few questions, but before I get to the first general question, can we have, for the record, some definitions of people who are intentionally homeless and what people are classified as vulnerable, because it is important to get those definitions in our minds before we start.

[10] **Dr Roberts:** I will immediately cross over to Linda to explain that. There is quite a lot of material in the code of guidance about the definitions, but perhaps I could ask Linda to try to answer that.

[11] **Ms Whittaker:** I will try. The idea that someone is intentionally homeless is based on whether or not they have been able to somehow prevent it themselves. If someone is generally seen as being vulnerable and therefore in a position where they would not have had the opportunity to prevent it, they would not be seen to be intentionally homeless. For instance, if someone gave up their home or, in some circumstances, if someone has their house repossessed, they may be seen as intentionally homeless. However, often, whether or not it is considered intentional is down to their individual circumstances and the make-up and circumstances of the family.

[12] On vulnerability, again, it is very much on the individual case basis. There are specifics that mean that people would be seen as being vulnerable. Certainly, in terms of their age and if they have mental health or other health problems, or substance misuse problems, they would be deemed as being vulnerable. It is also often age-related.

[13] **Janet Davies:** I will move on to paragraphs 1.2, 1.3 and 1.6, where it says that the number of decisions made by local authorities decreased by 18 per cent from 2004-05 to 2005-06. If that drop was due to the national homelessness strategy and its prevention agenda, are you satisfied with the decrease and can you predict that 2006-07 might show a similar drop?

[14] **Dr Roberts:** I think that we are making good progress against the targets that have been set in the homelessness strategy. As you indicate, both the number of applications for homelessness and the number of acceptances are falling. We are receiving regular information from local authorities. We believe that the main reason behind this is that local authorities are engaging far more in the prevention agenda. In other words, they are working with people who are potentially homeless to ensure that they do not become homeless. That is obviously one of the major focuses of the strategy and we think that that is coming through very much in terms of the number of applications for homelessness, for example.

[15] On future trends, the information that we are getting back is that local authorities are very well engaged on this. We found it quite heartening that, when you compare a quarter with the same quarter 12 months previously, for the past 18 months, there has been a regular fall in that quarter-on-quarter comparator. So, we are hopeful and fairly confident that that will continue into the future.

[16] **Janet Davies:** There was an increase in the number of homeless people in the last quarter of 2005-06 and the first quarter of 2006-07. What do you think that increase is due to? Is it a blip?

[17] **Dr Roberts:** The truth is that we are not actually sure. There is some suggestion of a seasonal variation in homelessness, but that is not conclusive. We get information back, but this time of the year—the period after Christmas—is the busiest time for homelessness services and the blip may well be seasonally related. However, we are very careful in looking at single quarter figures. More meaningful, from the point of view of the strategy, is the long-term trend, which is obviously what we are focused on.

[18] **Janet Davies:** Given that those two quarters were together, it seemed a bit worrying.

[19] **Dr Roberts:** Yes, we were starting to get a bit concerned ourselves, but the more recent figures, for the July to September quarter, show that the figure has dropped down again. However, we will obviously be monitoring the figures very closely.

[20] **Jocelyn Davies:** You mentioned prevention services a little earlier, but paragraph 1.5 tells us that, between 2004-05 and 2005-06, there was no significant drop in the percentage of people found to be unintentionally homeless and in priority need once they had presented themselves as homeless to the local authority. So, can prevention services work at that point?

[21] **Dr Roberts:** Yes. Given the actual percentage of numbers accepted over numbers who have applied, it is not surprising that that figure is increasing, because, as I said before, one of the main focuses of the strategy is prevention and stopping people from applying in the first instance—ensuring that they are housed before then. So, it is not surprising that that particular percentage is increasing.

9.40 a.m.

[22] What we would expect to happen is that, if the prevention agenda is kept up and it continues to be effective, the number of acceptances will also reduce, as indeed it is at present—it will continue to reduce. Obviously, that percentage focuses attention on local authorities getting homeless people out of temporary accommodation more quickly, so that they are housed permanently. That is the challenge that that particular figure throws out.

[23] **Jocelyn Davies:** Perhaps I did not explain myself clearly, but it seems to be at the point where people present themselves. As that figure has not been reduced significantly, it does not seem as though there is much success in prevention at that point. I accept that an awful lot of work has been done with local authorities in prevention before that point, but once you get to that point, it seems to be very limited.

[24] **Dr Roberts:** The figures refer to the acceptance of those in priority need, and, inevitably, a number of people with priority needs will come through and have to be dealt with. I hope that prevention does not just prevent applications, but that the number of acceptances, and the number of those in priority need, are dealt with far sooner in the process, so that they do not get to the stage of becoming homeless and having to be put into temporary accommodation. We are also hopeful that the number of acceptances will fall, as is happening at the moment.

[25] **Jocelyn Davies:** Turning to paragraph 1.7, I am glad that Janet mentioned definitions. That paragraph tells us that homeless people can choose whether or not they present themselves as homeless, and that is fair enough. However, we are concerned that, in the case of ex-prisoners, for example, not all of them are presenting themselves as homeless. Everybody acknowledges that the reason why they are on the list of people who can present themselves as homeless is because of the real need for stability to aid their rehabilitation. Do you have any idea as to why ex-prisoners are not currently presenting themselves as homeless? Does this, perhaps, have anything to do with the definition of what constitutes suitable accommodation that they are then offered? Cases have been referred to me in which the local authority accepts ex-prisoners, after a struggle, but offers them bed-and-breakfast accommodation many miles outside the borough in which they actually want to live.

[26] **Dr Roberts:** The first thing that I would say about prisoners is that a lot of work is done within prisons before they are released, to ensure that they have a care package and accommodation lined up. For instance, we fund Prison Link Cymru to conduct that kind of assessment while prisoners are still in prison. The concept is that they are offered accommodation on their release.

[27] We do not have a clear understanding of why all prisoners do not take up that offer. There is anecdotal information that, on release, they simply do not turn up for the appointments made for them or to the accommodation offered to them, but we do not actually properly understand why that is happening. A lot of work is being done on this; we partly fund a secondment at the National Offender Management Service, for instance, to look at the issue of prisoner release and accommodation. As you say, it is a serious issue. There is also 'Housing and Ex-Offenders Risk Management: The Link Protocol Wales', which has been developed by the Welsh Local Government Association and the social justice agencies to smooth that transition.

[28] In terms of the suitability of accommodation, another aspect of the strategy is to drive up the quality of accommodation. You will be aware of the 2006 Order passed by the Assembly that prevents authorities from using bed-and-breakfast accommodation as a long-term solution to homelessness. We feel, therefore, that we are driving up the quality of accommodation, but whether it is suitable in terms of distance, I am not sure. I am happy to take that matter away, unless Linda has any views on it.

[29] **Ms Whittaker:** It certainly is an issue that we are keen to try to find out more about. We are doing some research with Trothwy, which works closely with prisoners and ex-offenders, to determine their needs and what problems they face. That is due to report this summer. We are also keeping a very close eye on some research that is being funded by the Home Office in the west of England that tracks prisoners in the longer term. Again, we are awaiting that report to see how it might be reflected in Wales.

[30] **Dr Roberts:** This is an area that we need to review, because the numbers coming through are not reducing as we would have hoped.

[31] **Jocelyn Davies:** It occurs to me that vulnerable individuals have the fewest resources to challenge a local authority definition of suitable accommodation. I can understand that, if accommodation is offered and is many miles away from where they want to be and they have no transport and very little money, they might not consider it suitable whereas the local authority might. I would be interested to see how your research pans out.

[32] **Dr Roberts:** We are certainly happy to talk to organisations like Shelter, which may have some knowledge of that.

[33] **Mark Isherwood:** When I spoke to prisoners in Liverpool, they told me that housing was their first concern in terms of what would happen to them when they left prison. Related to that, the group of young men from north Wales that I met was concerned that they should not return to the community that they had come from because they would fall back in with the same peer groups, drug providers, and so on. The Social Justice and Regeneration Committee has been looking at the issue of youth homelessness, and there are some reciprocal agreements in place between local authorities to avoid that problem. What are your views on that as a solution? Building on from that, there is a perception in some communities that many ex-prisoners are coming into their areas from outside and taking services from local people. What do we need to do within those local communities to address that problem?

[34] **Janet Davies:** I do not know if you will be able to answer this question.

[35] **Dr Roberts:** I think that we are trespassing a little on policy here. You are right to say that there are reciprocal arrangements in place, but there needs to be a connection between the prisoner and the area into which they are being released. The definition of 'local connection' is laid out quite clearly in the guidance, so there is statute around this. In terms of the policies adopted by local authorities—I do not know, Chair, if you want to come on to this later—there is an issue here about housing allocation policies, which you may want to consider further.

[36] **Janet Davies:** I think that we will get to that later.

[37] **Dr Roberts:** It is later in the report, is it not?

[38] **Jocelyn Davies:** Are you happy with the definition of 'local connections'? It seems to be broad enough to accommodate the needs of most people.

[39] **Ms Whittaker:** It certainly should be.

[40] **Leighton Andrews:** I will look at paragraphs 1.16 to 1.19. Obviously, local authorities are not responsible for finding accommodation for those who are homeless but not in priority need, but some are approaching local authorities. Where will they find a home?

[41] **Ms Whittaker:** Local authorities have a duty to provide housing advice and homelessness advice. So, in terms of trying to help them to find accommodation, there is plenty of evidence that, with regard to those who are classed as being intentionally homeless, local authorities are working with letting agencies, looking at leasing in the private sector and working with their registered social landlord partners in terms of working out their opportunities to find housing. Local authorities have a statutory duty to provide housing advice to all those who present themselves as homeless, whether they are intentionally or unintentionally homeless.

[42] **Leighton Andrews:** We know that more local authorities are using both private and social rented housing for those in priority need, and that that is having an impact on stock. The report suggests that it is creating a bottleneck for those who are not homeless but who may wish to change their accommodation—they may have other needs that require them to change accommodation within the social rented sector. Are you undertaking research to look at the longer term implications of the temporary use of permanent accommodation for homeless households?

9.50 a.m.

[43] **Ms Whittaker:** Currently, we do not have any ongoing research looking at that issue specifically, but we are working with local authorities to determine what impact it is having in their local areas.

[44] **Leighton Andrews:** Paragraph 1.19 explicitly says that more research is needed in this area. What is your observation on that?

[45] **Ms Whittaker:** That is something that we will look at and take account of.

[46] **Dr Roberts:** We appreciate that local authorities are in a difficult position, because of the stock of social housing that is available to them, but that is why we are working closely with the private sector to improve the amount of private sector properties that might be available to local authorities to use specifically in the context of homelessness. We are funding things like bond schemes and advanced-rent-payment-type schemes so that there are more outlets available to local authorities when they make those allocation decisions.

[47] **Leighton Andrews:** Notwithstanding that, paragraph 1.11 suggests that there are local authorities that have not introduced housing allocation or homelessness policies that meet legislative requirements. What are you doing to require them to do so?

[48] **Dr Roberts:** The statute and the code of guidance are clear about local authority responsibilities in this regard. However, we are concerned that some local authorities are not complying with the law on this; I am sure that you are aware of the ombudsman's special report last year that drew attention to this issue. We have done some joint work with Shelter, which will be published shortly, and the conclusion is that all local authorities need to review their allocation policies—some because there are minor things wrong with them, but in other cases because the authorities are simply not complying with the code or the law in this regard. We are drawing the matter to local authorities' attention. Organisations such as Shelter are representing their clients in this regard, and the ombudsman's report has been powerful; he has done reports on individual local authorities, and we understand that they are coming into line, following representations from the ombudsman. So, what is happening is a sort of ratcheting-up of the pressure to ensure that local authorities fully comply with the code and with their statutory obligations.

[49] **Leighton Andrews:** Are you satisfied that the allocation schemes of registered social landlords are effectively supporting local authorities in meeting the needs of homeless people?

[50] **Ms Whittaker:** Yes, they are generally effective. We know that an awful lot of work is going on across Wales where local authorities and RSL partners are working towards joint waiting lists. At the moment, only six lists are fully operational, but most local authorities that we have talked to are working towards that. They had quite a long way to go, so having six lists that are up and running is good. Those lists are working effectively in terms of the local authority's ability to place homeless families and young people with RSLs much more easily than they could have done previously.

[51] **Janet Davies:** Going on from that, there is the issue of gate keeping as opposed to prevention. When people wish to register themselves as homeless, gate keeping can be defined as stopping people from presenting themselves as homeless, or accepting fewer applicants as unintentionally homeless and in priority need, and in prevention. Before I came to the Assembly, I spent 13 years as a councillor on a council with housing functions, and I often had huge problems with the fight to get people whom I felt were unintentionally homeless to be accepted as unintentionally homeless. Do you think that gate keeping is still a problem? Have you given local authorities sufficiently clear guidance to help them to distinguish between gate keeping and prevention?

[52] **Dr Roberts:** On the guidance, there is an awful lot in the 2003 document on the definitions and what local authorities should be doing on that. We are revising the guidance at the moment and we will be issuing it later this year. Like you, we hear anecdotal evidence that gate keeping is happening, although we do not have any systematic evidence that it is happening regularly. If it was, we would urge the organisations representing tenants to take up those cases. What will make the issue more transparent is that we are hoping to introduce a prevention indicator as part of the suite of indicators by which we monitor local authorities. That will show far more clearly, if people are re-presenting after six months, that, in the first instance, they may have been kept out of the system. It should be able to show, if they are re-presenting, that there may be a problem with gate keeping.

[53] **Janet Davies:** It is understandable that it happens, from the point of view of local authorities; officials can be concerned about their budget being stretched, but, clearly, the needs of vulnerable people who are homeless must override the issue of stretched budgets. Having that extra guidance will be helpful.

[54] **Dr Roberts:** We are regularly sharing what is good practice in terms of prevention as opposed to gate keeping.

[55] **Mick Bates:** Moving to the section about increasing the supply of affordable housing, paragraphs 1.20 to 1.23, it is explained that the Government has introduced policies to increase the amount of affordable housing that is built, but there is still a shortage of affordable accommodation. The figure given for Cardiff, for example, is that there is a shortfall of 2,500 units. Will the policies introduced by the Assembly Government ensure that there is sufficient affordable housing for people in Wales?

[56] **Dr Roberts:** This is a major issue and the Government published its affordable housing toolkit last year. I will ask Linda to say what we are doing on that.

[57] **Ms Whittaker:** Probably one of the key areas in which we are looking at this at the moment is within the local housing market assessment. We expect all local authorities to undertake a full assessment of their local housing needs, right across the board—the private sector and the social rented sector—and their likely requirements over the next three to five years. Within that, we would expect local authorities to put in place the measures to acquire the additional accommodation that they need, whether that is in the private sector or the social rented sector. We know that there is unlikely to be enough social housing grant to provide all of the social housing that is required in all areas, so, over the last year, we have produced the affordable housing toolkit, which is a tool that local authorities can use to maximise the level of affordable housing through planning. We are already finding that a number of local authorities are becoming competent in using the toolkit. We are also finding that RSLs are getting on board and working with their local authorities to maximise the amount of affordable housing under 106 agreements that is coming out of more or less every planning opportunity that local authorities have. Those are two of the measures that we are looking at.

[58] As the house-price market goes up, we are seeing more people staying in the social housing market and not going into the private market. Entering the private market becomes more difficult for people who would have done so naturally in the past. We need to maximise that area, but also allow people to go into the private market with some subsidy; that is why we are looking at the homebuy option, which is proving to be successful. We are also maximising that through 106 agreements, and a number of local authorities are providing a fair amount of homebuy options without any public subsidy—it is purely coming out of the planning gain. We are doing that with about a 30 per cent subsidy in most areas of Wales, but recognising that rural areas have a particular problem in terms of the difference between average earnings and average house prices—the subsidy there is up to 50 per cent. That is one way of trying to alleviate the pressure on social housing: allowing people who are borderline in terms of ability to go into the private sector, to do so, freeing up more social housing for others to move into.

10.00 a.m.

[59] **Janet Davies:** RSL stands for registered social landlord.

[60] **Ms Whittaker:** Yes—housing associations.

[61] **Mick Bates:** Thank you for that comprehensive answer. Let us start back at the beginning. I noted with interest that you said that some local authorities are becoming fairly competent at using local housing needs assessments. How many authorities have not undertaken full local housing assessments?

[62] **Ms Whittaker:** They all provided such assessments a few years ago, but they are due to complete another by April 2007, so that is the requirement at the moment. We would expect the results to feed through into their local housing strategies, which are due in April 2007.

[63] **Mick Bates:** That is fairly optimistic. When I last looked, there were six authorities, I believe, that had not undertaken full housing needs assessments; and not only that, but it also seems that some had not fed the results through into their housing departments anyway, so they were not acting on the figures that they had. What is the legal status of the assessment once it has been undertaken? Do local authorities have to implement it, and build the number of units that they have identified as necessary?

[64] **Ms Whittaker:** No, I do not think that there is a statutory duty on them to do that; for some local authorities, it just would not be possible to do everything that they recognise that they need to do. However, they have a statutory duty to produce a local housing strategy, and we will then monitor that and look at how they are progressing towards fulfilling the requirements that they identified.

[65] **Mick Bates:** I emphasise this issue because, later on in the report, at paragraph 2.7, I think that half of all local authorities emphasise in their returns the need for a supply of affordable housing. If they undertake the assessment, but do not adapt their policies according to the identified need, we will always be short of affordable housing. What mechanisms do you have to ensure that, first, they complete the collection of data, and secondly, they act upon it?

[66] **Ms Whittaker:** In terms of the collection of data, we have supported local authorities in part-funding the carrying out of local housing assessments, and we have also encouraged them to undertake the assessment on a regional basis. We recognise that housing markets are not restricted by local authority boundaries, and therefore we have been encouraging local authorities to act in market sectors, and the funding has been granted more or less on that basis. We have been supporting them in getting that information. From discussions with local authorities, I am not aware that there are any that will be unable to provide the data. We know that some of them will not make the April deadline, but the Minister has agreed that they can delay until June. Part of the reason for that is that there are a limited number of consultants who can carry out the work, and as all local authorities in England and Wales are undertaking the assessment at the same time, it will not be done by April; it will be done by June. In terms of how we ensure that they carry it out, it is very much a case of monitoring them and working with them and the social landlords in their areas to try to maximise the output of affordable housing in their areas.

[67] **Mick Bates:** I am still a little unclear about the number of authorities that have undertaken the full assessment. I wonder if your monitoring gives you a satisfactory result, because you say that the April deadline for completing this full assessment has already been extended, for some authorities, until June. That indicates to me that they are not very successful at completing the work that they are meant to have undertaken. How many authorities are in that position?

[68] **Ms Whittaker:** I do not know how many have asked for the extension until June; there are a number that have completed the assessment, but we are not anticipating that they will provide the results to us until April, through their local housing strategy.

[69] **Mick Bates:** I wonder if we could actually have the figures about how many authorities have completed the work, and how many have not.

[70] **Ms Whittaker:** I would not know how many have completed it yet, simply because we have not yet asked them for it.

[71] **Janet Davies:** If you could send us a note, that would be fine.

[72] **Mick Bates:** I think that we need to see how well they are implementing this strategy, and to know how many authorities have undertaken that work. That is crucial.

[73] Finally, on the use of section 106 agreements to ensure that there is a full supply, you identified that one problem with that is that, if people purchase below market value, they are almost stuck in that forever unless their wages increase so that they can get into another part of the market. In terms of 106 agreements, has any work been done to see how many people cannot ever afford to move from that type of property? It will often be a small, two-bedroom house, and if they have a family they want more beds. Do you know how many people are stuck in that sector because of 106 agreements? I may not have explained that too well.

[74] **Ms Whittaker:** In Michael Jones's research from Cambridge last year on the homebuy scheme—although it is not specifically on 106 agreements but homebuy, which is the product that we often see through the 106 agreements—there was evidence that there are people who move through that, and move on to, perhaps, a house of the same size, but who will increase their mortgage value to do that. Therefore, there is evidence that people are moving through, but we will have to continue to look at that over the longer term. Although homebuy has existed for a few years now, we would expect to see differences over a longer period of time.

[75] **Jocelyn Davies:** Are you satisfied that the 106 agreements are rigorously enforced? I do not have any evidence on this but I am sure that we have all heard of cases where the local authority has not fully enforced the 106 agreement or where the agreements have been negotiated and changed after planning permission has been given.

[76] **Ms Whittaker:** Where affordable housing is determined as part of the 106 agreement, we do not have any evidence that that is not being built out and transferred as affordable housing. I have heard similar anecdotal evidence of developers having potentially bought out their responsibility where other things have been asked for within 106 agreements. I have not necessarily heard that that happens so much in Wales, but I know that, in the past, it was a problem in England.

[77] **Dr Roberts:** The whole thrust behind the affordable housing toolkit is to raise awareness of the use of 106 agreements and the fact that it is available to local authorities. The market conditions are difficult in some areas, but, nevertheless, there is a strong obligation on local authorities to use them wherever possible, particularly as the numbers of social and rented housing have reduced so dramatically in many areas. The Assembly Government is trying to do that.

[78] **Janet Davies:** Do you want to come in on this, Mark, before you go on to your own part?

[79] **Mark Isherwood:** Yes. When homebuy was originally introduced as the Loco scheme in the mid 1990s, it was primarily focused on new build. Since then, homebuy has become almost exclusively focused on do-it-yourself—the purchase of existing properties. Do you feel that the time has come to look again at using homebuy on new build, or is that still financially imprudent?

[80] You mentioned the increasing co-operation between housing associations and local authorities. To what extent are you also talking to housing associations about their wider proposals for increasing supply, and also to private sector landlords? I am familiar, for instance, with the first private sector homebuy scheme, under which the builder in Wales would take that 30 per cent themselves—with 106 in perpetuity. Finally, on the role of the rural housing enabler, which I do not believe has been mentioned, to what extent is that having a positive impact—or not—thus far, and do we need more of them?

[81] **Dr Roberts:** On contact with the private sector, we have ongoing discussions with the private sector. We are encouraging it to bring forward new products—shared-equity-type products—into the market, which do not need social housing grant, for instance. We believe that that is already happening quite a bit in England, and we would like to see more of it happening in Wales.

[82] **Ms Whittaker:** On homebuy and new build, you are right that there is quite a lot of do-it-yourself homebuy, which involves existing properties. However, where homebuy is a product of 106 agreements, it always relates to new build. Therefore, we are finding that there are quite a lot—certainly in areas such as Newport and Cardiff—of homebuy products that are new build, so we do promote that. I would add that, with the Order that was brought in last year on the right of first refusal, when the homebuy purchaser sells on, the local authority or the registered social landlord—whoever holds the 30 per cent equity—has the ability to purchase that property back, so the property remains as an affordable unit. That is another way in which we are trying to keep those properties in the sector.

10.10 a.m.

[83] In terms of our maximising affordable housing, a number of us in Wales are providing affordable housing without the social housing grant, so we are seeing more units being provided in that way.

[84] On the private sector landlords, as Emyr said, we are looking at renting in the private sector and at private developers providing new products for affordable housing.

[85] You also mentioned the rural housing enabler. We now have rural housing enablers covering all rural areas in Wales and those that have been in operation for longer are starting to see that there are benefits to the work that they are doing. They also work as a network across Wales, so where good practice is being achieved in one area, where they are getting rural exception sites, it is being shared with the other enablers so that they can try to replicate it in their areas. We are now seeing rural exception sites coming forward that private landowners are transferring at nil, or at very low cost to enable the provision of affordable housing on the edge of rural areas. So, we are seeing the benefits from that work.

[86] **Mick Bates:** To return to my questions, one of the issues was the supply of land. Within the toolkit, there is a recommendation that—and I think that this relates to a value of under £2 million—you release Government-owned land. How much land is being released for affordable housing that is owned by local authorities or the Government?

[87] **Leighton Andrews:** Chair, we seem to be drifting away from the homelessness strategy and into broader issues of housing policy.

[88] **Mick Bates:** Chair, if I may draw your attention to page 18 of the strategy. The fourth bullet point says that the toolkit

[89] ‘removes restrictions on procedures for the disposal of land for affordable housing, so that local authorities can dispose of land up to a value of £2 million in any one year for affordable housing, without the Assembly Government’s approval’.

[90] **Leighton Andrews:** That is just an observation.

[91] **Mick Bates:** It is not an observation—it is part of the policy. I am simply asking how much land is being disposed of in that way.

[92] **Janet Davies:** I ask you to consider, Mick, whether this relates to policy or to audit and value for money. If you are concerned that it is relevant, you can ask the question, but we must be careful not to drift into policy issues here. I am just putting up a marker for the moment.

[93] **Dr Roberts:** Neither Linda nor I have the figure available, so it might be better to provide you with a note on the figure, if it is available.

[94] **Janet Davies:** Thank you. Mark, are you looking at paragraphs 2.10 to 2.13?

[95] **Mark Isherwood:** Yes. Regarding the expectation of local authorities to respond to people with housing problems, and the official visits made to monitor progress in that area, are you planning return visits in 2007? If so, how will you prioritise the visits, given the available resources?

[96] **Dr Roberts:** Yes. All the visits have now been completed and reports have been drawn up on each visit. We will continue with those visits over a slightly longer timescale, so we aim to visit each local authority again within the next two years. We will be prioritising those local authorities to which we felt we needed to return to look at what they are doing on homelessness and to try to encourage them to increase their activity.

[97] **Mark Isherwood:** There are a number of bullet points in paragraph 2.12, one of which refers to the

[98] ‘...refocusing of homelessness teams on housing options and advice.’

[99] We are aware from the evidence taken for a report that was published yesterday that there were concerns that housing options are concealing a high and growing degree of hidden homelessness, and there was a recommendation that the strategy should be revisited accordingly to recognise and address that. Although, you cannot respond directly to that report, do you feel that the housing options are having an unexpected or undesirable impact on homelessness figures? Related to that, and outlined in paragraph 2.13, are preventative support, securing accommodation and tackling repeat homelessness. How are you addressing the need, not only for permanent homes, but for shelters, hostels, supported housing and move-on accommodation prior to permanent housing?

[100] **Dr Roberts:** We support, through our homelessness grants, a number of activities, from the provision of advice through to the provision of services. Our Supporting People programme supports many hostels and facilities aimed at homeless people. Those budgets have increased and we continue to support all those activities.

[101] **Mark Isherwood:** So, what can you say about the housing options and the impact on hidden homelessness that has come to the fore in a recent report and is referred to here?

[102] **Dr Roberts:** Part of the duty on the local authority is to ensure that it has good information on housing need, including a need that is not currently presenting itself. So, as part of their housing market assessments, we would expect local authorities to make an assessment of the housing need in each area. There is an issue on hidden homelessness in terms of people who are not presenting themselves to local authorities. However, we undertake ongoing work, for example in our discussions with Shelter, on that kind of issue.

[103] **Ms Whittaker:** This is also about raising awareness of homelessness and what it is. Several projects are funded through the social housing management grant programme to encourage local authorities to work with schools, for instance, so that young people are aware of what homelessness is, because many people would be seen as vulnerable and unintentionally homeless but they would not recognise themselves as such and, therefore, would not go forward to look for help. There are examples of very good practice throughout Wales. For example, Conwy County Borough Council has undertaken some work with its local registered social landlord, Tai Clwyd, and others. It has produced a DVD, which it takes around schools, where it is having a very good impact in terms of teachers, for instance, recognising where there may be problems within their pupil population. The idea is for people to recognise it if they are homeless and for local authorities to advertise what they do in terms of housing advice. Often, a vulnerable 16-year-old would not necessarily think about going to a housing advice centre to ask for help, but it is available to them.

[104] **Mark Isherwood:** I have seen that film and I have met a group of homeless young people in that area. I commend their concerns to you because they can tell us a great deal. You referred to Supporting People and said that there had been an increase in funding. Do you agree that, in fact, that has been reduced over the last couple of years and that, this year, the increase was below inflation and was, therefore, a cut in real terms? That is just an observation of fact rather than a comment on policy.

[105] **Dr Roberts:** Clearly, there was a decrease in 2004-05, but since then, we have been able to maintain the funding in Supporting People. More importantly, we are asking authorities to re-profile the kind of activities that they support through Supporting People, so that they better meet the needs of these kinds of groups. Homelessness is one of the key priorities in Supporting People, so we expect local authorities to come forward to re-calibrate their services more around their strategic objectives on this.

10.20 a.m.

[106] **Mark Isherwood:** I would like to move on to question 11 on paragraphs 2.14 to 3, under the next heading referring to new guidance for the health, social care and wellbeing strategies and community strategies that, with ministerial agreement, are likely to recommend a reference to homelessness. Has this guidance been published yet, and is it appropriate and possible for guidance on other strategies to make reference to their role in preventing homelessness?

[107] **Dr Roberts:** That is a very important issue. The health, social care and wellbeing guidance is currently out for consultation; it was issued in December and it mentions homelessness as one of the issues that needs to be picked up. The general point, which I will take as a personal responsibility, is to ensure that all the guidance issued by the Assembly Government is joined up and that it makes provision for vulnerable groups such as homeless people. We are doing a lot of work to ensure that that is embedded in the various forms of guidance. For example, we have ensured that projects on homelessness are eligible for the new European convergence funding; so, that has also been built in.

[108] The other thing to say about guidance is that it is currently being issued on local service boards, which has come out of the Beecham review. We very much hope that some local authorities will pick up homelessness as a difficult cross-cutting issue that needs to be picked up locally and that the local service boards can be used to drive service improvements forward there.

[109] **Mark Isherwood:** Would one of the other strategies that could incorporate this perhaps be 'Wales: A Vibrant Economy', given the references and concerns expressed by CBI Cymru, and others, that housing has little or no recognition in that document? I see progress here, given that you referred to convergence funding, in terms of linking this with the economic development agenda.

[110] **Dr Roberts:** I think that WAVE is for a slightly different purpose because it is more focussed on economic development per se. An attempt was made in that document to narrow the focus, but the general point is that we are working with all Assembly Government departments to ensure that support for people, such as the homeless, is embedded in their strategies.

[111] **Mark Isherwood:** Do you agree with such organisations as Business in the Community and CBI Cymru that housing is central to economic success?

[112] **Dr Roberts:** I think that that is a question about policy, which is also outside the social justice remit.

[113] **Janet Davies:** Yes; I think that you can leave that one.

[114] **Leighton Andrews:** It is good to see a reduction in the use of bed and breakfast accommodation between October 2005 and 2006. However, are local authorities doing enough to ensure that they comply with the new legislation on standards being set for temporary accommodation?

[115] **Dr Roberts:** Yes, obviously the standards legislation is fairly new, in that it came in late last year, and it is ratcheting up the quality of accommodation. The current code of guidance advises local authorities to check at least annually on all accommodation that they use, and we expect them to comply with the new legislation as it comes in.

[116] **Leighton Andrews:** But how are you monitoring that?

[117] **Dr Roberts:** I think that we will need to work with organisations such as the Wales Audit Office and others to ensure that they are complying with the legislation on that.

[118] **Leighton Andrews:** You just said that they were ratcheting up the standard of accommodation, so, presumably, you have some monitoring going on.

[119] **Dr Roberts:** Yes, we do. We visit local authorities regularly; the working group on the homelessness strategy meets regularly. There is no evidence that they are not complying with the legislation, but equally, we would need to satisfy ourselves that they are.

[120] **Leighton Andrews:** Okay. Moving on to paragraph 2.17, which looks at the leasing scheme subsidy for private-sector accommodation, some local authorities say that that is very complicated and that it, therefore, becomes problematic for them use. What are your observations on that?

[121] **Ms Whittaker:** We had feedback that, when it was initially introduced, it was complex, and a number of local authorities did not attempt to access that subsidy for that reason. We produced clearer guidance for local authorities on that and we have also worked on a one-to-one basis with several local authorities, with the staff who actually monitor that, so that local authorities probably no longer have an excuse for not accessing that subsidy where there is sufficient good-quality, private-sector rented housing in their areas for them to lease.

[122] **Leighton Andrews:** Has that led to an increase in the number of properties?

[123] **Ms Whittaker:** Yes, very much so; it has increased quite considerably.

[124] **Janet Davies:** Could we now look at the funding schemes, which can be used by local authorities, housing associations and independent organisations, outlined in paragraphs 2.18 and 2.19? Do you think that this is the best way of funding the implementation of the national homelessness strategy, or do you think that funding schemes could be amalgamated or even included in the local authority housing settlement?

[125] **Dr Roberts:** For these kinds of schemes, it is difficult to put them in to any kind of formula. We believe that the current schemes that we offer give local authorities an incentive to come forward with innovative projects that are suited to the needs of their localities. We also want to try to encourage a wide range of projects, as I have said before, such as advice services and innovative approaches to working with the private sector. Some of the initiatives are also time-limited because we want to be able to evaluate how much they have achieved and other initiatives are focused on people who are not in priority need.

[126] I think that we would prefer to keep it as a separate grant scheme; it is well understood by local authorities and the other organisations. That is another point that I want to make: we do not just support local authorities through this; we also support advice agencies and so on. I would be concerned that if we put the grant in the local authority settlement, resources would be taken away from this area. I think that we are quite content with how it currently works.

[127] **Janet Davies:** It is always difficult to decide whether to keep things hypothecated or put them into the general funding pot. I can understand that, in this case, perhaps it would be better not to put it in the general settlement.

[128] **Jocelyn Davies:** The idea of the grants is to encourage good practice, so is there any evidence that you have been able to roll that good practice out elsewhere through this system?

[129] **Dr Roberts:** Yes, we have regular seminars to roll out the good practice that comes out and we share the reports with the local authorities as there is a local authority network on this issue. We regularly ensure that people are aware of what is happening because, as you said, that is the purpose of the grant.

[130] **Jocelyn Davies:** If you find good practice, does that funding then continue?

[131] **Dr Roberts:** It might.

[132] **Ms Whittaker:** It can; an example of good practice was the Llamau preventative project, looking at preventing homelessness among young people and providing a mediation service, which cuts in as soon as they present as homeless. They had grant funding to initially start that project in Cardiff, and, because it was seen to be successful, it was replicated in other areas and grant funding was given to others to try to replicate the work elsewhere. The idea is that once it starts to work, it should almost become self-funding because the cost of homelessness in that local authority area should reduce with that group of young people, where the preventative measures are successful. We may fund it for two years, to get it going, but once the local authority sees the benefits, it should be able to continue that funding.

[133] **Jocelyn Davies:** But do they? I am sure that we can all think of an example of good practice or a project that seemed to be a good idea and which received investment to get it up and running, but then the funding ran out, no-one picked up the tab and the whole thing ceased.

[134] **Ms Whittaker:** Where that seemed likely, we would consider continuing to fund the project or maybe fund it from another source. With some projects, we would look at a maximum of only two years, but if we can see that it is being effective, we would look to another source to help that project. There are also other bodies, for example, Shelter, that will come in, where they see that schemes are successful, and help to promote them.

[135] **Leighton Andrews:** On advice services, outlined in paragraphs 2.21 to 2.23, there is a degree of competition between local authority advice services and others. Clearly, we want people to have the best and most comprehensive advice service that makes the best use of resources without that degree of competition, necessarily. On the other hand, people may see independent advice services as offering a service that is of a different nature from that of a local authority. Therefore, what observations do you have about how we can develop comprehensive advice, but also ensure that local authority advice services are effective?

10.30 a.m.

[136] **Dr Roberts:** First, we expect local authorities to plan the provision of housing advice services in their area and to involve the voluntary sector partners in that, as is embodied in the code. Independent housing advice services are provided in all areas of Wales. They are mostly provided by Shelter Cymru, but they are also supported by citizens advice bureaux, law centres and solicitors.

[137] You are right that funding for advice centres come from a number of sources, including us, the Legal Services Commission and from local authorities directly. As I say, it is up to the local authorities to organise those in a way in which they do not compete with each other. I think that this issue will be forced in any case because, as you may be aware, the Legal Services Commission is currently consulting on a different form of commissioning of advice services; perhaps moving to a more regional model rather than an individual local authority model. Therefore, I think that that will fortify the planning of advice services and we will need to be part of that discussion. I know that there have been discussions with local authorities and the Legal Services Commission on what may come out of that. However, the idea is to make a more unified, but high-quality provision of advice services across Wales.

[138] **Leighton Andrews:** You referred earlier to Supporting People. This area has raised a lot of heat and concern in the Assembly and elsewhere. Local authorities have to develop the strategic framework, but the Assembly Government retains control over quite a significant proportion of the budget. You were planning to, as it were, devolve that budget to local authorities last year. However, it is argued that the split in funding leads to an inconsistency in the level of funding for schemes to support and prevent homelessness. Is that your experience?

[139] **Dr Roberts:** I will ask Linda to comment as she chairs the working group that is currently looking at this issue.

[140] **Ms Whittaker:** We are currently looking at the redistribution of the Supporting People funding across Wales, which is slightly different from just allowing local authorities to manage the entire amount that they receive. Those that have quite a lot of Supporting People funding would not say that, but those that have the lower amount would; that is probably the fairest way to say that. That is why we are taking our time and working hard on the redistribution to ensure that we get it right and that there is adequate funding across Wales for homelessness work.

[141] **Dr Roberts:** There are some quite difficult issues in there. The Assembly Government's position is that we need to be satisfied that that funding would be used properly, particularly for vulnerable groups such as the homeless, before we would countenance transferring responsibility to local authorities. That work is ongoing.

[142] **Leighton Andrews:** Presumably, if some local authorities are getting a lot and others are getting less, you are spending a lot of time monitoring those that are getting a lot, in terms of their use of the service, and rather less time on monitoring why other authorities are not accessing it.

[143] **Ms Whittaker:** Only in terms of Supporting People. In terms of homelessness, we will be monitoring all local authorities at the same level according to how they address their homelessness issues.

[144] **Leighton Andrews:** Yes, but it was Supporting People, specifically, that I was asking about.

[145] **Ms Whittaker:** On how they allocate Supporting People funding and what service is being provided through that funding, then, yes, we would do so in terms of time.

[146] **Leighton Andrews:** What is your current timescale for reaching a final conclusion on transferring funding to local authorities for Supporting People?

[147] **Ms Whittaker:** At present, the working group is looking towards April 2008, but if there is no agreement at that stage, it could potentially go on beyond that. However, that is currently our aim.

[148] **Janet Davies:** You wanted to come in, Mark; I will give you a minute.

[149] **Mark Isherwood:** You just rightly commented that those with the largest amount of Supporting People funding were those who were most concerned, but do you not agree that many of those cases arise because they are the largest providers of supported housing? Do you accept the criticism expressed by some that they may not be able to sustain that unless their revenue grant maintains its value, and hopefully increases in future?

[150] On a separate, but related, Supporting People matter, you mentioned working with local authorities to encourage them to use, or see how they could use, private sector accommodation. What role do you see Supporting People and housing benefit playing with registered social landlords, housing associations and some charities and voluntary organisations that also use those funding streams, to act as an intermediary in supported housing in the private rented sector, taking the risk away for the landlord and, without any additional cost, providing supported housing for vulnerable people?

[151] **Ms Whittaker:** The Supporting People element is quite separate from the leasehold subsidy. There may be, for instance, floating support for people who are vulnerable, or who might be vulnerable in terms of being able to maintain a tenancy and who may be in a property funded by a leasehold subsidy element, which could then be funded through housing benefit. They may have a Supporting People grant supporting them as well, but it might be through two different providers—they may have the property through the local authority, but the Supporting People funding may be provided through the social housing provider or a support provider. I am not quite sure what you are asking, I am sorry.

[152] **Mark Isherwood:** The first point was the concerns expressed by some of the largest providers over funding. Secondly, there are some very effective schemes being delivered by housing associations—I am aware of one in Cardiff—and voluntary organisations, where they utilise existing funding streams to act as an intermediary between the tenant, or the client, and the private landlord. They manage the supported tenancy within existing funding streams, without local authority involvement, necessarily or directly. They then pay the landlord, taking the risk away from them. What role could they play in widening this supply agenda?

[153] **Ms Whittaker:** Two areas are working on that—there are schemes that do that in Carmarthen and Cardiff. We see that as good practice, that is developing and working quite well in terms of working towards the homelessness agenda. Many private landlords are concerned about this tenancy group, in that they may have problems in terms of collecting rent and the way that the property is looked after. So, there are schemes in Cardiff and Carmarthen, which we helped fund, where the risk is taken away from the private landlord. For instance, the contents insurance may be paid for by the RSL, which will cover their void periods. We are trying to maximise the number of private landlords who will come into the sector, to address part of the homelessness problem, by taking away some of the risk, as they see it.

[154] In terms of those currently receiving a significant amount of Supporting People funding concerned about losing it over the period of redistribution, we are looking, in the working group, at ensuring that the period of phasing is long enough to enable any local authority facing a reduced amount of Supporting People funding to manage that change. That is a big concern, and it is not one that we take lightly; we are looking at it.

[155] **Mick Bates:** I move to section 3, which is about the evaluation of the national homelessness strategy. The strategy was written without clear targets for five of the 10 objectives. We all accept that it is a challenge to write clear targets, especially where some of the outcomes are difficult to quantify, but I am quite concerned that there is no prevention indicator. We heard earlier about the emphasis on prevention and the need for services to be co-ordinated. Will a prevention indicator be introduced to ensure that local authorities are able to consistently measure whether they are preventing homelessness?

10.40 a.m.

[156] **Dr Roberts:** Yes, I think that we have got some good news to report on that. The local government data unit reference group has refined the draft indicator that was rejected in 2006. This was resubmitted to the performance sub-group on 2 February and has been recommended for approval to the improvement board, which will meet on 14 February. Subject to this final approval, the performance indicator will come into effect from April 2007. The new indicator will require authorities to measure the percentage of prevention achieved for households that approach them as being at risk of homelessness. This will be measured by reference to data on people who re-present to authorities within six months. This is a bit of a gap at the moment, and, as I say, we will hopefully close that. This goes back to the issue of gate keeping that the Chair raised, and will give us more information on that. However, it will hopefully also demonstrate that the prevention initiatives are actually working.

[157] **Mick Bates:** I look forward to seeing the results. Monitoring the performance of local authorities is fine, but the statute requires other organisations to contribute to the prevention of homelessness, without monitoring their actions. Do you intend to monitor the contribution of other organisations to the prevention of homelessness?

[158] **Dr Roberts:** Yes, we have written to all organisations covered in the strategy to find out what progress they are making against the objectives that have been set for them. So, we are having that information fed back to us. If there are any issues arising from that information, we will take it up with the organisation concerned. I think that the ethos behind this is that we are trying to work in partnership with a whole range of organisations, and while we have obviously got some firm targets at a headline level, it would be wrong to try to micromanage this. We want to work in partnership, we do not have all the ideas ourselves, and we want the various organisations to come forward. Having said that, if there are gaps, and if we feel that organisations are not prioritising this as they should, we will take that up.

[159] **Jocelyn Davies:** Some of my questions have helpfully been covered by other members of the committee, but on that last point, if you directly fund independent organisations, it does seem to me that you need robust evaluation. Can we have some assurances that that will be the case?

[160] **Dr Roberts:** I can assure you that we generally do; if there is funding attached to the organisation, then we will evaluate. In light of the report, we want to have another look at evaluation, and the report is right to recommend that we strengthen the evaluation. We also want to move the focus away from simply measuring activity—what they are doing—to measuring the impact of what they are doing, and that is not so easy, but it needs to be done.

[161] **Janet Davies:** Lastly, on the issue of a consistent national approach, while you obviously want to allow local areas a flexibility to meet their needs, there is also a need for a level of consistency throughout Wales. How will you encourage local authorities to incorporate the views of service users into the development of the prevention of homelessness service?

[162] **Dr Roberts:** Specifically on service user involvement, in 2004 we commissioned and published research into good practice on consulting homeless people on provision of services, and that was circulated to all local authorities and voluntary bodies. Last year we approved funding for the City and County of Swansea Council to produce a toolkit, including a CD-ROM, on how to involve users in service planning, and that will be distributed in 2007. We have looked at service-user consultations as part of our review of local homelessness strategies, and made recommendations to local authorities to develop that aspect where appropriate. With the local government data unit, we are developing a set of model questions as part of guidance for local authorities on obtaining the views of service users, and that will be issued in 2007.

[163] **Janet Davies:** I believe that we are all agreed that there is progress on dealing with homelessness, preventing it, and dealing with the problems that people have when they are homeless. You will receive a verbatim report before the committee report is published, which will possibly take us into the next Assembly.

[164] Thank you both very much. Coffee will now be available, if you would like some before you struggle back to Cathays park. The committee will take a coffee break now.

*Gohiriwyd y cyfarfod rhwng 10.45 a.m. a 11.05 a.m.
The meeting adjourned between 10.45 a.m. and 11.05 a.m.*

Rhaglen Derfynol Archwiliadau Gwerth am Arian
Final Programme of Value for Money Examinations

[165] **Janet Davies:** We can restart the meeting, now that we are all here. The next item is on the auditor general's final value-for-money programme. Jeremy, do you want to come in on this?

[166] **Mr Colman:** Yes—

[167] **Leighton Andrews:** Can you speak up? [*Laughter.*]

[168] **Mr Colman:** Committee members will recall that I consulted them in October on the studies that I might carry out under my value-for-money powers. It is a statutory requirement for me to consult the committee, and I am very happy to do so. The document that is before you gives the results of that consultation. You will see, if you have compared it with the document that I produced last year and those produced by my predecessor, that there are one or two changes. It seemed that it would be helpful to the committee if I was to set out quite fully the topics that might come to the committee—not all of them value-for-money studies under section 145 of the Government of Wales Act 1998. I have sought to relate the proposed studies, or the actual studies, to the strategic objectives of the Wales Audit Office, so that you can see the rationale behind why particular studies are being done—either under way or planned to start in the coming year or so. The paper is essentially one to note, but I would be happy to answer any questions that committee members may have.

[169] **Janet Davies:** Do any Members have any questions or comments?

[170] **Mark Isherwood:** I have two points that are related to the programme. On acquired infections in hospitals, will you also be looking at institutions in England that provide services for people in Wales? I am thinking of the Robert Jones and Agnes Hunt Orthopaedic Hospital, Gobowen, which claims not to have had any MRSA cases, and whether there is any good practice there that might be helpful. Secondly, on mergers and collaboration between higher education institutions, will that study include mergers between further and higher education institutions or just mergers within the HE sector?

[171] **Mr Colman:** On the first of those questions, I am grateful for the suggestion that the study should take practice in England into account. That study is now under way and I take that point on board. On mergers and collaboration in higher education, the thrust of that study will be very much more towards collaboration than mergers, for the straightforward reason that very few mergers are under discussion and very few have taken place. Collaboration is really important, and that will be the main theme. The study will cover higher and further education.

[172] **Janet Davies:** I think that we are all happy with what you are doing, Jeremy, so we will move on.

11.08 a.m.

**Ymateb Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru i Adroddiad y Pwyllgor Archwilio ar Ddysgu
Seiliedig ar Waith**

**The Welsh Assembly Government response to the Audit Committee Report on Work-Based
Learning**

[173] **Janet Davies:** Again, I turn to you, Jeremy.

[174] **Mr Colman:** The key words in my letter to you on this matter are that ‘the response is entirely satisfactory’. I am pleased to report that all of the committee’s recommendations have been taken on board. We will, of course, be following up the committee’s recommendations and the recommendations in my report. The relevant body, Education and Learning Wales, no longer exists—it has been taken in to the Assembly Government—but our attention to what that part of the Assembly Government is doing is undiminished by the merger: we will keep a close eye on what goes on. The recommendations were designed to tackle a long-standing problem, which will require a continued effort if it is to be resolved.

[175] **Janet Davies:** The fact that the Government has taken all the recommendations on board is very good.

11.10 a.m.

**Y Fenter Twyll Genedlaethol yng Nghymru 2004-05: Adroddiad Cryno
National Fraud Initiative in Wales 2004-05: A Summary Report**

[176] **Janet Davies:** On this item, on the national fraud initiative, Jeremy just wishes to draw his conclusions to the committee’s attention and to note that erroneous payments totalling £2.6 million were uncovered.

[177] **Mr Colman:** I do not think that the committee has previously taken any report on this subject. The national fraud initiative has been running for about 10 years; it is a data-matching exercise with a very successful track record in uncovering cases of fraud. It seems to me rather a good thing; there have been some changes, and further changes are in prospect. The most obvious change is that, whereas it was previously conducted by the Audit Commission, the setting up of my office means that it is no longer its monopoly. That means that we co-operate with the Audit Commission very happily in running the exercise every two years.

[178] The legal powers under which the Audit Commission conducts the national fraud initiative are not ideal. It just so happens that the powers that I have here in Wales are admirable, and I am able to do the national fraud initiative without any qualms at all. However, the Audit Commission has persuaded the UK Government to introduce legislation that will put the national fraud initiative on a firmer statutory footing. I will be arranging for my powers to be changed in a similar way. Operationally, I do not think that it will make any difference. At the appropriate time, I would like to bring a fuller report than this one to the committee, and it may be that you could have a witness session on the national fraud initiative.

[179] **Leighton Andrews:** I would welcome that. I thought that it was very useful to have this; it was encouraging material to read. If we are going to look at it properly, I would suggest that it is not done in a committee meeting where we have an evidence session, because it would not get the attention that it deserves.

[180] **Janet Davies:** Yes. I think that that would be a good idea. It is certainly very interesting.

[181] **Mark Isherwood:** Would your work include looking at the awarding of contracts for things such as home improvement grants in the planning process?

[182] **Mr Colman:** No. Fundamentally, it is a data-matching exercise. Therefore, one looks at long lists of names of people who have made claims for benefits, or who have received income. It is high-tech work that requires a low intellectual input, if I can put it in those terms. What comes out of that exercise is a list of areas where questions need to be asked; it is passed to others for those questions to be pursued. As the report says, in many cases, we find that fraud occurs. I think that that is mainly because the people committing it had no idea that anyone would bother to cross-check the data. I suppose that one might say, optimistically, that as they learn this, instances of fraud would decrease. There is some evidence that it is decreasing; on the other hand there is a new one born every day. New fraudsters are coming into being, ever hopeful of passing undetected.

11.14 a.m.

Cofnodion y Cyfarfod Blaenorol Minutes of the Previous Meeting

[183] **Janet Davies:** Are Members happy with the minutes of the previous meeting? I see that they are.

*Cadarnhawyd cofnodion y cyfarfod blaenorol.
The minutes of the previous meeting were ratified.*

Cynnig Trefniadol Procedural Motion

[184] **Janet Davies:** At this point we need to bring the public part of the meeting to an end. I ask a Member to propose the appropriate motion.

[185] **Mark Isherwood:** I propose that

[186] the committee resolves to exclude the public from the remainder of the meeting in accordance with Standing Order No. 8.24 (vi).

[187] **Janet Davies:** I see that the committee is in agreement.

*Derbyniwyd y cynnig.
Motion carried.*

Daeth rhan gyhoeddus y cyfarfod i ben am 11.14 a.m.
The public part of the meeting ended at 11.14 a.m.